



**Dementias**  
Platform<sup>UK</sup>  
Medical Research Council

# **POLICY – FOR ACKNOWLEDGING DPUK SUPPORT ON OUTPUTS, INCLUDING PUBLICATIONS AND POSTERS**

## Background

This document explains the expectations of DPUK and its funder MRC with regards to the acknowledgment of DPUK funding associated with scientific and other outputs. Its aim is to facilitate access to scientific findings that have been obtained through DPUK funding, and to promote awareness of the scientific opportunities provided by DPUK.

The policy is structured around four categories of support from DPUK:

1. DPUK core projects: projects funded directly by DPUK including DPUK work packages and experimental medicine (EM) proof of concept studies;
2. DPUK affiliated projects: projects using DPUK resources to generate research income, including projects generated by DPUK EM groups and technology networks;
3. DPUK associated projects: projects using DPUK for data access, biosample access or participant recontact;
4. Other DPUK funded activities: projects connected with specific DPUK initiatives including Discovery Awards, Early Career Researcher grants or other prizes.

All of the above are considered to be DPUK-supported projects.

## Principles

The policy is based on four principles:

1. Maximising awareness of and access to findings established by DPUK activity;
2. Promoting awareness of the scientific opportunities provided by DPUK;
3. Establishing the provenance of datasets and analyses conducted within DPUK;
4. Acknowledging the broad range of contributions that establish data provenance.

The policy has taken into account the requirements of UK Research and Innovation's [Open Access](#) policy (and associated [FAQs](#)) to ensure compliance with these mandates.

Other guiding principles, such as for publications, are as below:

- The author line should recognise responsibility for the scientific integrity of any publications
- Criteria for authorship should broadly follow the ICMJE criteria. These criteria include data acquisition and it is anticipated that research cohorts providing the data for studies will have the option of being represented by a named individual in the author's list.
- Authorship roles should be identified using the categories of academic contribution proposed by the digital taxonomies project and available on the CRediT website (see <https://casrai.org/credita/>).
- The contributors' line should recognise the role of supporting scientists including individuals involved in data acquisition, infrastructure build and maintenance and methods development
- Contributorship roles should be identified using the categories of academic contribution proposed by the digital taxonomies project. (CRediT website: see <https://casrai.org/credita/>).